



*The SOA solutions were not too very detailed, but that seems reasonable given this was only a 1 point question*

- (i)  $\alpha$ -VaR represents the loss that, with probability  $\alpha$  will not be exceeded
- (ii) the CTE is the expected loss given that the loss falls in the worst  $(1 - \alpha)$  part of the loss distribution

X	Probability
5	.800
80	.170
500	.030

Y	Probability
10	.850
120	.145
2000	.005

$X + Y = k$	$Pr(X + Y = k)$	$Pr(X + Y \geq k)$	Weight
500+2000=2500	.030 · .005	...	Full
80+2000=2080	.170 · .005	...	Full
5+2000=2005	.800 · .005	.005	Full
500+120=620	.030 · .145	.00935	Full
500+10=510	.030 · .850	.03485	Full
80+120= <b>200</b>	.170 · .145	.0595	Partial
...	...	...	None

●  $VaR(95\%) = 200$

$X + Y$	Weight
2500	$.030 \cdot .005$
2080	$.170 \cdot .005$
2005	$.800 \cdot .005$
620	$.030 \cdot .145$
510	$.030 \cdot .850$
200	$.05 - .03485$
<b>Total</b>	<b>.05</b>

- $CTE(95\%) = \frac{\sum [(X+Y) \cdot Weight]}{.05} = 577.9$



- Only half of this part is still applicable based on the current syllabus
- Explain the shortcomings of VaR (on syllabus) and how stress testing can complement standard VaR models (off syllabus).
- Shortcomings of VaR: LRM-111 / Value-At-Risk: Evolution, Deficiencies and Alternatives